02 1A **\$ 01.83**° 0004204479 SEP 13 2006 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314 OCT 0 6 2006 USPTO MAIL CENTER AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS RIdo Min SEFICIAL BUSINESS Organization IC 3601

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 UCHI VOG :0:

TED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE OCT 0 6 2006

09/13/2006

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 9742/RH7 1008 06/29/2001 Mitchell Franklin White 09/896,392

7590

Long Aldridge & Norman LLP

Washington, DC 20004

701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

K. Jung

6th Floor

EXAMINER

GOTTSCHALK, MARTIN A

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

3626

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/896,392	WHITE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Martin A. Gottschalk	3626
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	une 2001.	
·	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-103</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-103</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 June 2001</u> is/are: a		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>	ts have been received.	
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Burea</li> </ol>	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal   6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)
C. Potost and Trademed. Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/896,392 Page 2

Art Unit: 3626

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-103 have been examined.

## Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

A. As for the current case, the abstract is longer than 150 words and repeats information given in the title. Correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3626

- 4. Claims 1-25, 44-65, 77-86, 93-95, and 101-103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lockwood (US Pat# 4,567,359).
- A. As per claim 1, Lockwood discloses a method of providing an offer of insurance for an applicant in real-time using an automated offer generation system (Lockwood: col 2, Ins 8-18), the method comprising the steps of:
  - a) receiving applicant specified information associated with the applicant (Lockwood: col 6, In 41 to col 7, In 7; Fig 2);
  - b) establishing an initial connection to an initial information source from a set of available information sources (e.g. col 7, lns 61-68; Fig 1, e.g. lines connecting to item 22 from within item box 1, and also from item 3);
  - c) transmitting an initial request for applicant relevant information from the offer generation system to the initial information source via the established initial connection, wherein the initial transmitted request comprises at least a portion of the received applicant specified information (for steps b and c, Lockwood: col 7, lns 61-68);

Art Unit: 3626

d) receiving applicant relevant information from the initial information source (Lockwood: col 7, Ins 61-68, reads on "locates the appropriate rating information");

e) generating an offer of insurance based at least in part upon the applicant information selected from the group consisting of

received applicant specified information (Lockwood: col 7, Ins 61-68, reads on "makes an insurance calculation"),

received applicant relevant information

and

combinations thereof;

and

f) transmitting the generated offer to an offer output device associated with the applicant (Lockwood: col 5, lns 16-19, reads on "...printed out...over the printer unit."; col 8, ln 2).

Application/Control Number: 09/896,392 Page 5

Art Unit: 3626

B. As per claim 2, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 1, and further comprising the step of transmitting a request for applicant specified information to a second output device associated with the applicant (Lockwood: col 5, Ins 7-10, reads on "displayed on the monitor screen.").

C. As per claim 3, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 2,

wherein the step of transmitting the request for applicant specified information comprises

transmitting a form into which the applicant may enter the applicant specified information (Lockwood: col 6, lns 51-59)

and

wherein the step of receiving the applicant specified information comprises the steps of

receiving a completed form into which the applicant specified information has been entered (Lockwood: col 7, lns 5-10)

Art Unit: 3626

and

parsing the applicant specified information from the transmitted form (Lockwood: col 7, lns 61-64, reads on "determines the type of quote requested," which is parsed from the information provided in col 6, lns 51-59).

D. As per claims 4 and 44, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 2, wherein the second output device associated with the applicant is selected from the group consisting of

a computer (Lockwood: col 4, Ins 33-51),

a telephone,

a facsimile machine

and combinations thereof.

E. As per claim 5, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 4, wherein the second output device comprises

Art Unit: 3626

a computer

and

wherein the computer comprises a display device selected from the group consisting of

a monitor,

a speaker,

a tactile display,

a printer

and combinations thereof (Lockwood: col 4, Ins 33-51).

F. As per claim 6, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 2, wherein

the second output device is the offer output device (Lockwood: col 5, Ins 16-18, reads on "...will be displayed...").

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/896,392

Art Unit: 3626

- G. As per claim 7, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 1, and further comprising the steps of
  - g) receiving payment information (Lockwood: col 7, Ins 25-40)

and

- h) processing the received payment information to receive compensation based upon the transmitted offer (Lockwood: col 8, lns 3-11).
- H. As per claim 8 and 11, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 7 and 10 respectively, wherein the payment information comprises a payment type selected from the group consisting of

charge card (Lockwood: col 7, lns 26-27),

debit card,

direct bank account withdrawal,

electronic fund transfer

Art Unit: 3626

and

combinations thereof.

- I. As per claim 9, 10, 12, and 13, Lockwood discloses
- (claim 9) the method of claim 1, and further comprising the step of
  - g) receiving an acceptance signal indicating acceptance of the transmitted offer (Lockwood: col 7, Ins 17-24).
- (claim 10) the method of claim 9, wherein the acceptance signal comprises payment information and further comprising the step of
  - h) processing the received payment information to receive compensation based upon the accepted offer (Lockwood: col 8, Ins 3-11).
- (claim 12) the method of claim 10, and further comprising the step of

Art Unit: 3626

i) delivering a policy to the applicant drawn in accordance with the accepted offer (Lockwood: col 8, Ins 12-19).

(claim 13) the method of claim 9, and further comprising the step of

- h) delivering a policy to the applicant drawn in accordance with the offer (Lockwood: col 8, lns 12-19).
- J. As per claim 14-16, Lockwood discloses
- (claim 14) the method of claim 1, and further comprising the step of
  - h) selecting the information source to which to establish the connection (Lockwood: col 7, lns 61-65).
- (claim 15) the method of claim 14, wherein the step of selecting the information source comprises accessing a data store comprising information source addressing information representing at least one information source (Lockwood: col 7, Ins 61-65, Fig 1, boxes connected to item 22).

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 09/896,392

Art Unit: 3626

(claim 16) the method of claim 15, wherein the data store comprises information source addressing information representing a plurality of information sources (Lockwood: col 7, Ins 61-65, Fig 1, boxes connected to item 22).

K. As per claim 17-19, Lockwood discloses

(claim 17) the method of claim 1, and further comprising the step of

h) establishing a further connection to a further information source from the set of available information sources;

i) transmitting a further request for applicant relevant information from the offer generation system to the further information source via the further established connection,

wherein the further transmitted request comprises applicant information selected from the group consisting of

previously received applicant relevant information,

received applicant specified information

Art Unit: 3626

and

# combination thereof;

j) receiving further applicant relevant information from the further information source (for steps h and i, and j, Lockwood: col 8, lns 3-6);

and

- k) aggregating the received further applicant relevant information with any prior received applicant relevant information (Lockwood: col 8, lns 9-11; col 7, ln 68 to col 8, ln 2).
- (claim 18) the method of claim 17, and further comprising the step of
  - 1) repeating steps h) through k) for each of a selected subset of the set of available information sources (Lockwood: col 7, ln 61 to col 8, ln 9, i.e. if payment is rejected, process stops).
- (claim 19) the method of claim 18, and further comprising the step of

Art Unit: 3626

1) repeating steps h) through k) for each information source in the set of available information sources (Lockwood: col 7, ln 61 to col 8, ln 19).

- L. As per claim 20-24, Lockwood discloses
- (claim 20) the method of claim 1, wherein the step of establishing the connection comprises the step of attempting to open the connection to the information source via a communication channel.
- (claim 21) the method of claim 20, wherein the communication channel for attempting to open the connection is based upon addressing information associated with the information source.
- (claim 22) the method of claim 20, wherein the communication channel is selected from the group consisting of: computer network, direct serial or parallel connection, dial-up connection, dedicated line connection, wireless connection, bus connection and combinations thereof.
- (claim 23) the method of claim 22, wherein the communication channel is the Internet.

Art Unit: 3626

(claim 24) the method of claim 1, wherein the step of transmitting the request comprises transmitting the request via a protocol selected from the group consisting of HTTP, HTTPS, SMTP, FTP, BLUETOOTH, GOPHER and WAIS.

For steps 20-24, Lockwood, note the network description provided in col 4, lns 1-16, which includes a computer network whose connections constitute communication channels. One disclosed embodiment incorporates the use of TELENET®, an Internet based network, which as such, utilizes various Internet protocols such as HTTP.

M. As per claim 25, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of generating the offer of insurance comprises the step of

generating a rate component of the offer of insurance (Lockwood: col 7, lns 10-13).

N. As per claims 45-65, 77-86, 93-95, and 101-103, they are rejected as per the corresponding claims for claims 1-25, and 44.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,392 Page 15

Art Unit: 3626

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 26-43, 66-76, and 87-100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lockwood as applied to claim 26 above, and further in view of Lloyd et al (US Pat# 4,876,648, hereinafter Lloyd).
- A. As per claim 26, Lockwood fails to disclose the features of the claim, however, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Lloyd who teaches

the method of claim 25, wherein the step of generating the rate component comprises the steps of:

Art Unit: 3626

i) determining an underwriting tier for the applicant based upon applicant information selected from the group consisting of

received applicant specified information (Lloyd: col 19, lns 45-49),

received applicant relevant information

and

combinations thereof;

ii) retrieving a base rate based upon the determined underwriting tier(Lloyd: col 29, Ins 16-18);

and

iii) calculating the rate component based upon the base rate and applicant information selected from the group consisting of

received applicant specified information (Lloyd: col 29, lns 19-32),

received applicant relevant information

Art Unit: 3626

and

combinations thereof.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Lloyd with those of Lockwood with the motivation of reducing the expense to sell (Lockwood: col 9, Ins 20-25) and administer (Lloyd: col 2, In 61 to col 3, In 7) financial services through automation of the relevant processes.

Note: In the following claim rejections combining the Lloyd and Lockwood references, the same motivation applies and will not be repeated.

B. As per claim 27, Lockwood fails to disclose the features of the claim, however, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Lloyd who teaches

the method of claim 26, wherein the step of generating the rate component further comprises the steps of

iv) deriving an adjustment to the retrieved base rate based at least in part upon

Art Unit: 3626

applicant information selected from the group consisting of

received applicant specified information,

received applicant relevant information

and

combinations thereof

and

a dynamic pricing factor based upon analysis of analytic information selected from the group consisting of

demand level,

cost (Lloyd: Fig 6; col 29, Ins 16-22, i.e. the adjustment is based on applicant specified information such as "smoker", and cost. The cost of insurance is a dynamic pricing factor,

Page 19

Application/Control Number: 09/896,392

Art Unit: 3626

see col 25, Ins 51-57. The adjustment is deducted from the base rate in order to calculate the rate.),

return on assets

and

combinations thereof

and

wherein the step of calculating the rate is further based upon the derived adjustment (see reference provided above).

C. As per claim 28, Lockwood fails to disclose the features of the claim, however, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Lloyd who teaches

the method of claim 27, and further comprising the step of

g) generating an adjustment table of dynamic pricing factors based upon the analysis of analytic information

Art Unit: 3626

and

wherein the step of deriving the adjustment comprises the step of

retrieving the adjustment from the generated adjustment table based upon applicant information selected from the group consisting of

received applicant specified information,

received applicant relevant information

and

combinations thereof (for all the features of this claim, see Lloyd: col 27, lns 39-66).

- D. As per claims 34 and 40, they are rejected for the same reasons provided for claim 28.
- E. As per claim 29, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 28,

Art Unit: 3626

wherein the analytic information comprises

```
demand level (Lockwood: col 8, ln 64; col 9, ln 45, reads on "quotation analysis")
```

and

wherein the step of generating the adjustment table

generates the adjustment table based at least in part upon

conversion rates as an indicator of demand level,

wherein the adjustment table generation step comprises the steps of

i) analyzing conversion rates for previous purchases
 of insurance products (Lockwood: col 7, In 68 to col 8,
 In 2, reads on "quotation history");

Art Unit: 3626

ii) forecasting conversion rates for potential further purchases based upon the analyzed conversion rates (Note that the system of Lockwood collects "sales completed" data, col 2, Ins 35-44, and quotation information for the purpose of analysis, col 8, In 68 to col 9, In 5. The Examiner notes that the ratio of these two types of data results in the calculation of a conversation rate, and that the process of taking rations is very well known.).

As per step iii, Lockwood fails to explicitly disclose the use of adjustment tables as recited in the claim, but this feature is taught by Lloyd as described in the rejection of claim 28.

- F. As per claims 32, 35, and 41, they are rejected for the same reasons provided for claim 29.
- G. As per claim 30, Lockwood discloses the method of claim 25, wherein the step of generating the rate component comprises the steps of:
  - i) determining an offering company from a plurality of available offering companies based upon

Art Unit: 3626

applicant information selected from the group consisting of

received applicant specified information (Lockwood: col 7, Ins 64-

68),

received applicant relevant information

and

combinations thereof.

Lockwood fails to explicitly disclose the remaining features of the claim including dynamic pricing and steps ii-iv, however these features are rejected for the reasons for provided in the rejection of claim 26 above.

- H. As per claim 31, it is rejected as per the teachings of Lockwood referenced for the rejection of claim 30 above.
- 1. As per claim 33, Lockwood fails to disclose the features of the claim, however, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Lloyd who teaches

Art Unit: 3626

the method of claim 25, wherein the step of generating the rate component comprises the steps of:

i) determining a pricing tier for the applicant based upon applicant information selected from the group consisting of

received applicant specified information (Lloyd: col 27, lns 39-54, i.e. the "target cash value" is a determinant of the premium, thus the level of target cash is a type of pricing tier),

received applicant relevant information

and

combinations thereof.

Lockwood fails to explicitly disclose the remaining features of the claim including dynamic pricing and steps ii and iii, however these features are rejected for the reasons for provided in the rejection of claim 26 above.

Art Unit: 3626

4 2 %

J. As per claim 36 and 38, Lockwood fails to disclose the features of the claim, however, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Lloyd who teaches

the method of claim 25, wherein the step of generating the offer of insurance further comprises the step of

generating a fee component of the offer of insurance (Lloyd: col 26, Ins 26-31).

K. As per claim 37, Lockwood fails to disclose the features of the claim, however, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Lloyd who teaches

the method of claim 36, wherein the step of generating the offer of insurance further comprises the step of

adjusting the generated rate component and the generated fee component based upon applicant information selected from the group consisting of

received applicant specified information (Lloyd: col 27, lns 39-66),

Application/Control Number: 09/896,392 Page 26

Art Unit: 3626

received applicant relevant information

and

combinations thereof.

L. As per claim 39, Lockwood fails to disclose the features of the claim, however, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Lloyd.

The "fee for the applicant" feature is rejected as per the corresponding teachings of Lloyd as applied to claims 36 and 37 above. The "dynamic pricing" feature is rejected as per the corresponding teachings of Lloyd as applied to claim 27 above.

- M. As per claim 42 and 43, Lockwood fails to disclose the features of the claim, however, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Lloyd who teaches
- (claim 42) the method of claim 1, wherein the step of generating the offer of insurance comprises the step of generating a purchase incentive component of the offer of insurance,

Art Unit: 3626

(claim 43)

wherein the purchase incentive component comprises at least one incentive selected from the group consisting of

a discount on the offered insurance product (col 25, lns 32-33, reads on "quantity discounts"),

a discount on a third party product or service,

an award in a third-party incentive program,

and

a free third party product or service.

N. As per claims 66-76, and 87-100, they are rejected as per the corresponding claims from claims 26-43.

## Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited but not applied prior art discloses methods and systems for automating the purchase and distribution of insurance and financial

Art Unit: 3626

services products; a variety of rate calculations including adjustments to a base rate; and techniques associated with dynamic pricing.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin A. Gottschalk whose telephone number is (571) 272-7030. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs 8:30 -6 and alternate Fri 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ЙG

08/04/2006

Page 29

C. LUKE GILLIGAN PATENT EXAMINER

# Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination | WHITE ET AL. | Examiner | Art Unit | Martin A. Gottschalk | 3626 | Page 1 of 2

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-3,739,155 A	06-1973	O'Larte, Fermin M.	235/89R
*	В	US-4,567,359 A	01-1986	Lockwood, Lawrence B.	235/381
*	С	US-4,837,693 A	06-1989	Schotz, Barry R.	705/4
*	D	US-4,876,648 A	10-1989	Lloyd, Clarke B.	705/38
*	Е	US-4,975,840 A	12-1990	DeTore et al.	705/4
*	F	US-5,655,085 A	08-1997	Ryan et al.	705/4
*	G	US-5,884,274 A	03-1999	Walker et al.	705/4
*	Н	US-6,119,093 A	09-2000	Walker et al.	705/4
*	1	US-6,182,048 B1	01-2001	Osborn et al.	705/4
*	J	US-6,236,973 B1	05-2001	Dillard, Greg	705/36R
*	к	US-6,283,761 B1	09-2001	Joao, Raymond Anthony	434/236
*	L	US-6,584,446 B1	06-2003	Buchanan et al.	705/4
*	м	US-2003/0182213 A1	09-2003	Kansal, Namita	705/34

### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					1.00
	R					
	S					
	Т					

### **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	w	
	×	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

# Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 09/896,392 Examiner Martin A. Gottschalk Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination WHITE ET AL. Page 2 of 2

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-4,831,526 A	05-1989	Luchs et al.	705/4
*	В	US-5,191,522 A	03-1993	Bosco et al.	705/4
*	С	US-5,752,236 A	05-1998	Sexton et al.	705/4
*	D	US-5,806,042 A	09-1998	Kelly et al.	705/4
*	E	US-5,907,828 A	05-1999	Meyer et al.	705/4
*	F	US-2001/0054020 A1	12-2001	Barth et al.	705/37
*	G	US-2002/0188484 A1	12-2002	Grover et al.	705/4
*	Н	US-2004/0153362 A1	08-2004	Bauer et al.	705/010
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-			
	L	US-			
	м	US-			

### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	Ν					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т					

### **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	٧	
	w	
	x	(Co. MDED 5 707 05(a))

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.